In this essay, I will discuss how topical areas and presentational strategies have evolved as authors leverage the technological capacities of MTO. With the capacity to make articles and critical commentaries widely available in a short amount of time, MTO has attracted writers interested in articulating new methodologies, forging new interdisciplinary connections, and reevaluating our evolving identity as a scholarly society. Changes from fixed texts to multimedia and interactivity have evolved together with shifts in values and ideology. Examples 1a-f provide a sampling of article views representative of MTO’s evolving design. A broadening in musical repertoires and analytical approaches has paralleled developments in digital media design, fostered by the flexibility MTO offers as an open-access medium for publication authored and produced by scholars. In this brief retrospective view spanning the history of MTO, it is inevitable that I will barely scratch the surface in commenting on a few articles while leaving other important contributions unmentioned. For this, I apologize in advance.

Web Environments, Musical Worlds, and the “Silence of the Frames”

Several of the most prolific MTO authors have written thoughtfully on the changing landscape of music theory as it relates to other disciplines. Janet Schmalfieldt’s six articles in MTO published between 1998 and 2010 (1998, 2004, 2005, 2010a, 2010b, 2010c) articulate a growing awareness of how musical analysis can be informed by performance and enriched through consideration of broader cultural and historical contexts. Patrick McCreless, in five articles spanning 1996 to 2011 (1996a, 1996b, 1998, 2000, 2011), traces how our Society grappled with the New Musicology critique in the late 1990s and continued to evolve in relation to other musical and scholarly communities. A wide range of studies in musical meaning can be traced from phenomenological approaches (Covach 1994, Littlefield 1996) and critical theory (Killam 1994, Krims 1994) to cognitive theory (London 1993, Zbikowski 1995) and semiotic approaches (Agawu 1996, Roeder 1993, Monelle 2000). The first writers for MTO formulated positions on future directions for music theory and recognized MTO as a logical platform for articulating those positions. These early articles were rich in philosophical introspection. Interestingly, MTO writers were directly concerned with syntactic, semantic, and intertextual issues in music often described through words that
would also come to be understood as the stuff of HTML web design: network, frame, code, text, image. Engaged in the substance of their discussions, they did not always exhibit self-awareness as creators of the newly emerging phenomenon of hypertext. But now, the body of work from the early years of MTO forms a powerful example of evolving web-based scholarship.

[3] There was urgency during MTO's first decade to respond to the challenges posed by new musicologists, to show that analysis of a musical work’s internal relationships was compatible with investigations of larger contextualizations of musical meaning. In his 1996 article, “Analyzing Music Under the New Musicological Regime,” Kofi Agawu explored connections and mutual compatibilities in theory and musicology, citing examples from a vast range of innovative music-theoretical inquiries already under way that could not be dismissed as a merely formalist enterprise. Agawu defended our close readings of musical works with Adorno’s statement that analysis is “the prerequisite for an adequate performance” and aesthetic theories on music are “inconceivable without analysis.”(1)

[4] As a newly emerging design platform, the Web promoted a conscious engagement with text, images, sounds, and framing containers that would shape substance as well as style, offering opportunities to develop innovative formats for expressing analysis and theoretical approaches while opening a broader field of potential in the aesthetic objects we might choose to examine. Earlier MTO articles provided philosophical grounding for this work through inquiries into how we frame musical experience and knowledge in general. Thoughtful early writings that formulated understandings of the interior virtual world of the musical imagination included John Covach’s “Destructuring Cartesian Dualism in Musical Analysis” (1994). Covach posits that musical understanding arises when we are able to situate a particular piece within a musical world, and musical meaning arises as we appreciate the particular way in which the work is situated. The transparent background that constitutes a musical world is further illuminated in Richard Littlefield's article “The Silence of the Frames” (1996). Littlefield draws from Immanuel Kant and Jacques Derrida in questioning how art is contextualized such that it appears as a “work.” In his nuanced reading of Edward T. Cone's (1968) *Musical Form and Musical Performance*, Littlefield engages with issues of aesthetic framing. The frame enshrines the work, but ideally, a good frame seems to disappear. As Derrida states, “There is framing, but the frame does not exist.”(2) Musical framing by silence implies the mental act of comprehension, in separating an inner musical world from all externals. Implied in this is the idea that all music analysis taps into a romantic idealized world of vicarious identification with the artist.

[5] Littlefield's article generated a significant amount of buzz on the SMT-list, raising questions about musical structure and closure while challenging judgments of what constitutes an artwork. But further, it brings into consideration the moving target of what we choose to analyze and how we frame our inquiries into musical structures and processes. Part of our interest in graphic analysis, audio clips, and hyperlinked web pages, connected like so many neural nets, may stem from a romantic aesthetic that seeks to make the idealized and imagined inner world of the musical work more concrete and tangible.

Web Media and the Analytical Object

[6] Building on the dialogue of ideas expressed in the early plain-text MTO articles, multimedia articles emerged in the late 1990s.(3) The capacity to focus on specific performances through study of audio and video has been invaluable in MTO studies devoted to analysis and performance, popular music, and film music. Graphic renderings and animation have been integrated in approaches to visualization of musical processes, notably in transformational theory and in studies of rhythm. Following the incorporation of MIDI audio files in 1996 and RealAudio files in 1998, it became possible to integrate audio and video with graphics and text in a more unified design by the early 2000s. Writers were drawn to MTO as a medium in which they could discuss specific audio and video excerpts. In articles including Mark Butler's study of electronic dance music (2001), Rebecca Leydon’s “Towards a Typology of Minimalist Tropes” (2002), and Elizabeth Sayrs's study of music in the Western film, The Hanging Tree (2003), the audio/video element is essential as an object of analysis. Also from this period is Diane Urist's pedagogy article employing video to illustrate kinesthetic approaches to music theory pedagogy (2003).

[7] Writers have artfully rendered geometries of transformational space through animation. In 2003, Richard Cohn modeled parsimonious and transpositionally combinatorial relations through three-dimensional graphic renderings and QuickTime
VR; and Nancy Rogers and Michael Buchler created moving diagrams in animated GIF format to illustrate analogies between the cyclic patterns of square dancing and pitch transformation in atonal music (2003). John Roeder and company provided a whole volume with animated transformational modeling in 2009. In this special volume, titled “Animating the ‘Inside,’” David Lewin's advocacy of a “transformational attitude”—a compelling metaphor by which a listener imagines being “inside” the music—is offered as a context for the authors’ representations of musical transformations spanning whole musical works, modeled as animated real-time changes within virtual spaces. (4)

[8] Many MTO studies have exemplified how analysis can be informed by performances and through collaborations with performers. An appreciation of analysis as performance reminds us that all musical analyses have a performative element that can be foregrounded through the use of media. To cite only one example, William Rothstein documents the tactile exploration of Chopin's music at the piano as he explicates principles of rubato in “Like Falling off a Log: Rubato in Chopin's Prelude in A-flat Major (op. 28, no. 17)” (2005). The MTO special issues, Performance and Analysis: Views from Theory, Musicology, and Performance (11.1, 2005) and Analyzing Performance (18.1, 2012) are notable accomplishments in this area.

[9] MTO proved to be a useful platform for presenting new analytical approaches as we expanded further into new repertoires. This work would be relevant in addressing the need, articulated by David Neumeyer in the first MTO article, to promote greater inclusiveness and challenge a prevailing “ideology of masterwork culture” (1993, [19]). Two songs by Dolly Parton are discussed in Rosemary Killam’s (1994) explication of feminist theories, also published in MTO's first volume of issues. In noting this, I am reminded of how MTO has been at the forefront in publishing influential articles on the analysis of popular music. As Peter Kaminsky observed in 2000, the “boomers” were having their revenge and a string of highly influential MTO articles on analysis of popular music followed. To mention several, Walter Everett (2004), Allen Moore (2005), Lori Burns (2005), and Matthew Butterfield (2006) published foundational studies focusing, respectively, on harmony, text setting, vocal expression, and rhythmic timing in popular music. I would also mention the 2010 “Africa and Beyond” (Volume 16.4) issue and the 2012 Festschrift dedicated to Steve Larson (Volume 18.3) as noteworthy volumes of exceptional breadth, with wide-ranging explorations of varied repertoires including Non-Western music and jazz.

[10] As I reflect on my years as editor of MTO, and the full scope of volumes that came before, during, and after, I am most struck by the collaborative environment MTO has provided for the creative “framing” of our scholarly work. The mentoring role of editorial board members, who have anonymously provided encouragement and critical feedback cannot be overstated. I also wish to take this opportunity to acknowledge the invaluable contribution of Managing Editor, Brent Yorgason. His work over many years has been indispensable to the design, content, and ever-steady progress of the Journal.

[11] Today's mobile connectivity is driving more open pathways for dynamically framing information. In recent years, MTO webinars have offered new dimensions of interactivity and colloquy with potential to further transform the content and design of our research. John Covach's (2013) article on MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses) provides a perspective on innovative instructional technology that may extend the reach of our teaching while challenging established instructional approaches.

[12] To think deeply about music is to form metaphors. We are drawn to technological tools that aid in communicating our close involvement with musical works and musical processes. Digital media extends our creativity in rendering visible our inner virtual worlds of musical experience, while also shaping pathways we navigate in our inquiry. As Judith Lochhead observed in MTO in 1998, quoting from Heidegger, “technology, in essence, reveals a world in a certain way.” (5) Through a dynamic collaboration of authorship, editorial mentoring, and technical design, MTO has provided a powerful platform for the creative framing of ideas that continues to evolve.

Timothy Koozin
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University of Houston
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Houston, Texas 77204-4017
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Footnotes


3. When hypertext was in its infancy and lack of bandwidth was a barrier in building rich aural-graphic environments online, some of us rendered our musical worlds on CD-ROMs. A series of articles in MTO by Dave Headlam, Timothy Johnson, Alexander R. Brinkman, and Elizabeth W. Marvin were extremely helpful in documenting work in this area. Timothy Johnson (1995) discusses my musical studies on CD-ROM published by W. W. Norton.
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5. Heidegger 1962, quoted in Ihde 1983 (32–33). Judith Lochhead (1998) draws upon the writings of Don Ihde and Heidegger in discussing how technologies may serve to creatively “reveal” the world, asserting that an analogous revelatory function may be found in ways that discourse in musical analysis may shape experiential engagement with sound.
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